

March 10, 2006

The Honorable Michael Enzi
Chairman of the Conference Committee on
the Pension Protection Act of 2005 (HR 2830) and
the Preservation of Defined Benefit Plans Act of 2005 (HR 4274)
379A Senate Russell Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510-5004

Re: Section 307 of the Pension Protection Act ("PPA"), which amends §502(a)(3) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA") to expressly authorize plan fiduciaries to sue for money under ERISA §502(a)(3), *but does not expressly provide this relief for participants and beneficiaries*

Dear Chairman Enzi:

The 162 attorneys, organizations, and other interested persons who have endorsed the positions in this letter, some of whom are distinguished law professors and authors of articles or books on ERISA, are all involved in helping protect the interests of participants and beneficiaries in their employer-provided ERISA benefits (pension and/or welfare). We write to express our concerns over subparagraph (a) of §307, which authorizes plan fiduciaries to sue for money under ERISA §502(a)(3), *but does not expressly provide this relief for participants and beneficiaries*. ERISA's primary remedial objective is to protect the interests of participants (and their beneficiaries) in their employer-provided benefits. See 29 U.S.C. §1001 ("Congressional findings and declaration of policy"). A provision that is so obviously lopsided and unfair undermines this goal and impugns the integrity of our legislative process.¹ Accordingly, at the end of this letter (page 6), we propose a modification to Section 307.

As introduced on June 9, 2005, the PPA was touted as a bill to improve the funding of defined benefit pension plans, and to address various issues concerning cash balance pension plans. At that time and for six months thereafter, the PPA did not speak to the remedies available under ERISA §502(a)(3). However, on December 15, 2005, just before the House was scheduled to vote on the bill, §307 was added to the PPA. Consequently, there was no opportunity for interested persons (other than the plans and insurance companies that lobbied for the addition) to comment on §307.

¹ As currently drafted, §307(a) reads as follows:

(a) In General- Section 502(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1132(a)) is amended by adding, after and below paragraph (9), the following new sentence:

'Actions described under paragraph (3) include an action by a fiduciary for recovery of amounts on behalf of the plan enforcing terms of the plan that provide a right of recovery by reimbursement or subrogation with respect to benefits provided to or for a participant or beneficiary.'

While §307 appears rather innocuous given the breadth of the PPA, it drastically alters and tips a previously level playing field (based on the language of ERISA §502(a)(3)) *in favor of plan fiduciaries* with respect to the remedies available under ERISA §502(a)(3). Worse, §307 could be erroneously construed as suggesting that monetary relief is not available to participants and beneficiaries under ERISA §502(a)(3). As I will explain, any amendment to ERISA's remedies provisions must make clear that participants and beneficiaries --the persons ERISA was designed to protect--may obtain benefits and other make-whole monetary relief under §502(a)(3).

Under ERISA's remedial statute, §502(a), only two provisions permit participants and beneficiaries to recover losses incurred as a result of a violation of ERISA or the terms of the plan: ERISA §502(a)(1)(B), which authorizes suits to recover benefits due under the terms of the plan; and ERISA §502(a)(3), which authorizes suits to enjoin any act or practice that violates ERISA or the terms of the plan, or to obtain other "appropriate equitable relief" to redress such violations.² If a participant or beneficiary suffers a monetary loss because of a violation of ERISA (as opposed to a violation of the terms of the plan), the participant's only avenue of recovery is to sue under ERISA §502(a)(3). Unfortunately, some courts have erroneously denied participants and beneficiaries monetary relief under §502(a)(3) believing an award of money can never be an equitable remedy, leaving aggrieved participants with a statutory right but no concomitant remedy, a result surely never intended by the drafters of ERISA's remedial framework.

Pertinent Case Law and Legislative History

Crosby v. Bowater, 382 F.3d 587 (6th Cir. 2004), illustrates the problem. There, Frank J. Crosby sought to recover on behalf of himself and approximately 350 other participants and beneficiaries lump sum pension benefits due and owing under ERISA's anti-forfeiture rule.³ Although the district court granted summary judgment for Crosby and the class, and awarded equitable restitution in the amount of the difference between the lump sum paid and the lump sum that *should* have been paid if defendants had complied with ERISA's anti-forfeiture rule, the Sixth Circuit reversed, reasoning that monetary relief is unavailable under ERISA §502(a)(3). *Id.* at 593-597. In effect, the Sixth Circuit held that Crosby was entitled to no relief under ERISA §502(a)(3), even though the plan's method and manner of calculating the lump sums was illegal under ERISA's anti-forfeiture rule.

The denial of monetary relief under §502(a)(3) is not limited to claims for pension benefits owed under ERISA's minimum benefit rules (e.g., the anti-forfeiture rules, present-value rules,

² The full text of ERISA §502(a)(3) reads as follows:
A civil action may be brought--

* * *

(3) by a participant, beneficiary, or fiduciary (A) to enjoin any act or practice which violates any provision of this subchapter or the terms of the plan, or (B) to obtain other appropriate equitable relief (i) to redress such violations or (ii) to enforce any provision of this subchapter or the terms of the plan;

³ I and my partners Bradley J. Schram and Robert P. Geller represented the class.

benefit accrual rules, and minimum vesting rules). Participants and beneficiaries have been prohibited from recovering monetary losses suffered on account of a breach of ERISA's fiduciary duties. For example, in Calhoun v. Trans World Airlines, Inc., 400 F.3d 593 (8th Cir. 2005), the Eighth Circuit held that substantial medical expenses incurred by the plaintiff as a result of the plan fiduciary sending the plaintiff's COBRA premium coupons to the wrong address were not recoverable under ERISA §502(a)(3). The effect of this ruling was to deny the plaintiff any relief because the medical expenses incurred could not be recovered under ERISA §502(a)(1)(B).

Crosby, Calhoun and other similar cases routinely cite the Supreme Court's decision in Great-West Life & Annuity Ins. Co. v. Knudson, 534 U.S. 204 (2002), as the basis for their conclusion that monetary relief is unavailable under ERISA §502(a)(3). Great-West did not, however, hold that monetary relief is never available under ERISA §502(a)(3). On the contrary, Great-West held that monetary relief *typically* available at equity (e.g., equitable restitution) may be awarded under ERISA §502(a)(3). 534 U.S. at 212-217. The Supreme Court denied monetary relief to Great-West because its claim to recover medical benefits from an injured (quadriplegic) beneficiary under the plan's reimbursement provision was based on contract,⁴ and a claim for money due and owing under a contract was not *typically* available at equity. Great-West, 534 U.S. at 210-211. In light of this ruling, insured plans and their insurers have often been unable to utilize ERISA §502(a)(3) to recover monies allegedly owed by a participant or beneficiary under the plan's reimbursement or subrogation provision--a situation that is undoubtedly the motivating factor behind the addition of §307 to the PPA.

Although equity courts in the days of the divided bench *typically* awarded monetary relief when it was necessary to make the aggrieved party whole,⁵ as noted, many courts have interpreted Great-West as precluding participants and beneficiaries from *ever* obtaining monetary relief under ERISA §502(a)(3). Even if this were a correct interpretation of Great-West, it is not a correct interpretation of ERISA §502(a)(3). As explained by the four-Justice dissent in Great-West, scholarly commentators, and the Department of Labor,⁶ Congress intended to provide make-whole monetary relief to participants and beneficiaries under ERISA §502(a)(3). Further, in their

⁴ Like a great many reimbursement provisions, the reimbursement provision in the health plan at issue in Great-West gave the plan the right to recover from a beneficiary any payment for benefits that the beneficiary was entitled to recover from a third party. Great-West, 534 U.S. at 207.

⁵ See, e.g., the following Supreme Court cases, all of which were decided in the days of the divided bench (i.e., when there existed separate law and equity courts): Riddle & Co. v. Mandeville and Jamieson, 9 U.S. 322, 332-333 (1809) (holding that "a right exists in the holder of a promissory note, at least where he cannot obtain payment at law, to sue a remote endorser in equity." (emphasis added)); Clews v. Jamieson, 182 U.S. 461 (1901) (applied constructive trust principles in determining that petitioners' action for the payment of money was maintainable in equity); and Pennington v. Gibson, 57 U.S. 65 (1853) (citing numerous cases where the equity courts ordered the payment of money, and holding that such decrees are enforceable).

⁶ See, e.g., the DOL's amicus brief in the Tenth Circuit in Callery v. United States Life Insurance Co. (Ct. of Appeals No. 03-4097), which can be found at the DOL's website.

concurring opinion in Aetna Health Inc. v. Davila, 124 S. Ct. 2488 (2004), Justices Ginsburg and Breyer emphasized that it is high time for Congress and the Court to expressly say so:

A series of the Court's decisions has yielded a host of situations in which persons adversely affected by ERISA-proscribed wrongdoing cannot gain make-whole relief. . . . As the array of lower court cases and opinions documents, see, e.g., *DiFelice; Cicio v. Does*, 321 F.3d 83 (C.A.2 2003), . . . a fresh consideration of the availability of consequential damages under §502(a)(3) is plainly in order. See 321 F.3d at 106, 107 (Calabresi, J., dissenting in part) ("gaping wound" caused by the breath of preemption and limited remedies under ERISA, as interpreted by this Court, will not be healed until the Court "start[s] over" or Congress "wipe[s] the slate clean"); *DiFelice*, 346 F.3d at 467 ("The vital thing . . . is that either Congress or the Court act quickly, because the current situation is plainly untenable."); Langbein, *What ERISA Means by "Equitable": The Supreme Court's Trail of Error in Russell, Mertens and Great-West*, 103 Colum. L.Rev. 1317, 1365 (2003) (hereinafter Langbein) ("The Supreme Court needs to . . . realign ERISA remedy law with the trust remedial tradition that Congress intended when it provided in §502(a)(3) for 'appropriate equitable relief.'").

* * *

"Congress . . . intended ERISA to replicate the core principles of trust remedy law, including the make-whole standard of relief." Langbein 1319. I anticipate that Congress, or this Court, will one day so confirm.

Davila, 124 S. Ct. at 2503.⁷

ERISA's legislative history reveals that Congress intended to afford aggrieved participants and beneficiaries the broadest of legal and equitable remedies and to eliminate jurisdictional obstacles to the recovery of benefits. See H.R. Rep. No. 93-533, 1974 U.S.C.C.A.N. 4639, 4655 (Oct. 2, 1973); S. Rep. No. 93-127, 1974 U.S.C.C.A.N. 4838, 4870 (Apr. 18, 1973). As further explained in the legislative history, Congress sought to enlarge the equitable remedies "traditionally available" because they failed to adequately safeguard the interests of participants and beneficiaries. H.R. Rep. No. 93-533, 1974 U.S.C.C.A.N. 4639, 4643 (Oct. 2, 1973).

Not surprisingly, when Congress finally enacted ERISA, the only condition it placed on the equitable remedies available under ERISA §502(a)(3) is that they be "appropriate." 29 U.S.C.

⁷ In light of the Court's construction of ERISA §502 in Great-West, persons who are the victims of malfeasance by ERISA-regulated plans often have neither state nor federal remedies for their injuries. This is frequently the case for victims of negligent HMO decisions concerning eligibility for plan benefits. See Edward A. Zelinsky, Against a Federal Patients' Bill of Rights--The Sequel, New York University Review of Employee Benefits and Executive Compensation (2005).

§1132(a)(3). Thus, by its very language, ERISA §502(a)(3) contemplates relief broader than mere injunctive orders and, by use of the disjunctive “or,” permits an award of appropriate equitable relief to redress the violation even absent a grant of injunctive relief.⁸ Nevertheless, some courts continue to deny equitable monetary relief to participants and beneficiaries under ERISA §502(a)(3).

Although ERISA does not define the term “equitable relief,” when interpreting ERISA, the Supreme Court has stated that it “begin[s] with the language employed by Congress and the assumption that the ordinary meaning of that language accurately expresses the legislative purpose.” FMC Corp. v. Holliday, 498 U.S. 52, 57 (1990) (citations omitted). The term “equitable” ordinarily means “just,” “fair,” and “right.” Black’s Law Dictionary 537 (6th ed. 1990); Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary 383 (1979). Given ERISA’s remedial purpose of protecting participants’ interests in their employer-provided benefits, and its intent to provide the broadest of equitable remedies to redress violations of ERISA, the term “equitable relief” in ERISA §502(a)(3) was facially intended to mean relief that is “just,” “fair,” and “right.”

If a participant or beneficiary incurs an expense or suffers a loss as a result of an ERISA violation, and is unable to recover those monies under ERISA §502(a)(1)(B), it is clearly “just,” “fair” and “right” that the participant or beneficiary be able to recover under ERISA §502(a)(3). As the Supreme Court explained in Varity Corp. v. Howe, 516 U.S. 489 (1996), ERISA §502(a)(3) is a “catchall” provision that “act[s] as a safety net, offering appropriate equitable relief for injuries caused by violations that §502 does not elsewhere adequately remedy”; ERISA’s enforcement provisions were intended to provide “broad remedies” for redressing violations of ERISA; it is “hard to imagine” that Congress would want to deny injured beneficiaries a remedy; and granting a remedy is “consistent with” the literal language of the statute, ERISA’s purpose of protecting participants and beneficiaries, and pre-existing trust law. Id. at 512-515.

Proposed Solution

For the foregoing reasons, ERISA §502(a)(3) desperately needs to be amended to expressly provide for monetary relief to participants and beneficiaries so as to eliminate any perceived uncertainty as to the provision’s remedial objectives. Therefore, we propose that §307(a) of the PPA be re-written to read as follows:

⁸ When Congress enacted ERISA in 1974, federal courts sitting in equity routinely ordered monetary relief when it was necessary to make the injured party whole. See Albermarle Paper Co. v. Moody, 422 U.S. 405 (1975) (backpay for violation of Civil Rights Act); Chris-Craft Indus. v. Piper Aircraft Corp., 480 F.2d 341, 390-391 (2nd Cir. 1973) (“ancillary” monetary relief for violation of securities laws); Jordan v. Weaver, 472 F.2d 986, 993, 994 and n. 14. (7th Cir. 1973) (benefits owed under Aid to the Aged, Blind and Disabled program); Hodgson v. Wheaton Glass Co., 446 F.2d 527, 535-536 (3rd Cir. 1971) (sums wrongfully withheld under Equal Pay Act).

As the Supreme Court has oft stated, Congress is presumed to know the state of the law when it passes legislation. South Dakota v. Yankton Sioux Tribe, 522 U.S. 329, 350 (1998); Miles v. Apex Marine Corp., 498 U.S. 19, 32 (1990); Cannon v. Univ. of Chicago, 441 U.S. 677, 697-698 (1979).

(a) In General- Section 502(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. §1132(a)) is amended by adding, at the end of paragraph (3)(B), the following language:

‘including make-whole monetary relief’

While some might argue that amending ERISA §502(a)(3) to expressly provide for monetary relief to participants and beneficiaries will impose inappropriate liabilities on plans, we would respectfully disagree, as the statute retains the threshold requirement that the relief be “appropriate.” Accordingly, if a participant or beneficiary has failed to take reasonable steps to mitigate his damages or has unreasonably contributed to his damages, a court could properly take those facts into consideration in determining the monetary relief that is “appropriate.”

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to address our concerns about §307 of the PPA as currently drafted. If you have any questions or need additional information, please feel free to call me or e-mail me.

Sincerely,

Eva T. Cantarella, Esq., Partner
Senior Litigation Counsel, Pensions
Hertz, Schram & Saretsky, P.C.
1760 S. Telegraph Road, Suite 300
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302
off. (248) 335-5000; fax. (248) 335-3346
e-mail: ecantarella@hsspc.com
on behalf of herself, her firm, and the undersigned

ETC/etc

cc: Members of the Conference Committee (via facsimile and e-mail)

The following attorneys, organizations, and interested persons concur with the positions presented in this letter:

Center for Insurance Research*
By: Its Policy Director
Brendan Bridgeland
1130 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
off. (617) 441-2904
fax. (617) 441-6363
info@centerforinsuranceresearch.org
insuranceresearch@comcast.net
** a consumer-oriented organization*

Pension Rights Center
By: Its Director, Karen W. Ferguson, Esq.
1350 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Ste. 206
Washington, DC 20036
off. (202) 296-3776
fax. (202) 833-2473
kferguson@pensionrights.org

National Employment Lawyers Assoc./NY
By: Its President, William D. Frumkin, Esq.
Sapir & Frumkin LLP
399 Knollwood Road, Ste. 310
White Plains, NY 10603
off. (914) 328-0366
fax. (914) 682-9128
wfrumkin@sapirfrumkin.com

Lawrence A. Frolik*
Professor of Law
University of Pittsburgh School of Law
3900 Forbes Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15260
off. (412) 648-1363
fax. (412) 648-2649
frolik@law.pitt.edu
** on behalf of himself* [L. Frolik and K. Moore, Law of Employee Pension and Welfare Benefits (LexisNexis 2004)]

Donald T. Bogan, Esq.*
Professor of Law
University of Oklahoma College of Law
300 Timberdell Rd.
Norman, OK 73019-5081
off. (405) 325-4540
fax. (405) 325-4540
donbogan@ou.edu
** on behalf of himself* [significant published articles addressing a variety of ERISA issues, including D. Bogan, ERISA: The Savings Clause, §502 Implied Preemption, Complete Preemption, and State Law Remedies, 42 Santa Clara L. Rev. 105 (2001)]

Norman Stein, Esq.*
Douglas Arant Professor of Law
University of Alabama, School of Law
101 Bryant Drive
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0382
off. (202) 348-1136
nstein@law.ua.edu
** on behalf of himself*

George Lee Flint, Esq.*
Professor of Law
St. Mary's University School of Law
One Camino Santa Maria
San Antonio, TX 77228
off. (210) 436-3788
fax. (210) 436-3717
gflint@stmarytx.edu
** on behalf of himself* [significant scholarly articles, including, most recently, G. Flint, ERISA: Fumbling the Limitations Period 84 Neb. L. Rev. 313 (2005)]

James A. Wooten*
Professor of Law
University at Buffalo Law School
State University of New York
O'Brian Hall
Buffalo, NY 14260
off. (716) 645-2318
fax. (716) 645-6245
jwooten@buffalo.edu
** on behalf of himself* [J. Wooten, The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974: A Political History (Berkeley, CA: Univ. of CA Press, Milibank Memorial Fund, Employee Benefit Research Institute, 2004)]

Justin Cummins, Esq.*
Adjunct Professor
University of Minnesota Law School and
William Mitchell College of Law
Miller-O'Brien, PLLP
One Financial Plaza
120 South Sixth Street, Ste. 2400
Minneapolis, MN 55402
off. (612) 333-5831
fax. (612) 342-2613
jcummins@miller-obrien.com
www.miller-obrien.com
**on behalf of himself* [J. Cummins, ERISA Reform in a Post-Enron World, 39 J. Marshall L. Rev. ___ (2006)]

Roger M. Baron, Professor*
University of South Dakota School of Law
414 E. Clark Street
Vermillion, SD 57069
off. (605) 677-6349
fax. (605) 677-5417
Roger.Baron@usd.edu
**on behalf of himself*
[recognized authority on plan subrogation and reimbursement issues; significant scholarly articles, including R. Baron, Public Policy Considerations Warranting Denial of Reimbursement to ERISA Plans: It's Time to Recognize the Elephant in the Courtroom, 55 Mercer Law Review 595 (2004)]

Edward A. Zelinsky, Esq.*
Morris & Annie Trachman Professor of Law
Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law Yeshiva
University Room 941
55 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10003
off. (212) 790-0277
fax. (212) 790-0205
Zelinsky@yu.edu
Zelinsky@prodigy.net
**on behalf of himself*
[Professor Zelinsky has written extensively on ERISA issues, including remedies under ERISA §502(a)(3); see, e.g., article quoted at footnote 7 of this letter]

Patricia E. Dilley, Esq.*
Professor of Law
University of Florida
Frederick G. Levin College of Law
Holland Hall
P.O. Box 117620
Gainesville, FL 32611
off. (352) 273-0916
fax. (352) 392-7647
dilley@law.ufl.edu
**on behalf of herself*
[P. Dilley, Hope We Die Before We Get Old: The Attack on Retirement 12 Elder Law Journal 245 (2004)]

Camilla Watson, Esq.*
Professor of Law
University of Georgia School of Law
Herty Drive
Athens, GA 30602
off. (706) 542-5208
fax. (706) 542-5556
camillaw@uga.edu
**on behalf of herself* [C. Watson and M. Hoeflich, Federal Taxation of Deferred Compensation Plans (CCH 1989)]
former chair Employee Benefits Section Association of American Law Schools

Stephen Bruce, Esq.
Stephen Bruce Law Offices
805 15th Street, N.W., Ste. 210
Washington, DC 20005
off. (202) 371-8013
fax. (202) 371-0121
stephen.bruce@prodigy.net
[S. Bruce, Pension Claims: Rights & Obligations (BNA 1993)]
co chair National Employment Lawyers Association ERISA Committee

Laura Maslow-Armand, Esq.
Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights
Under Law
294 Washington Street, Ste. 443
Boston, MA 02108
off. (617) 988-0613
fax. (617) 482-4392
laurama@lawyerscom.org

Mala M. Rafik, Esq.
Rosenfeld & Rafik, P.C.
44 School Street, Ste. 410
Boston, MA 02108
off. (617) 723-7470, ext. 205
fax. (617) 227-2843
mmr@rosenfeld.com
www.rosenfeld.com

Alison M Sulentic *
Associate Professor of Law
Duquesne University School of Law
600 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15282
off. (412) 396-4994
sulentic@duq.edu
** on behalf of herself* [significant published articles addressing a variety of ERISA issues, including A. Sulentic, Happiness and ERISA: Reflections on the Lessons of Aristotote's Nicomachean Ethics for Sponsors of Employee Benefit Plans, 5 *Employee Rts. & Emp. Pol'y J.* 7 (2001)]

Brad J. Brady, Esq.
Brady & O'Shea, PC
2735 First Avenue, S.E.
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402
off. (319) 866-9277
fax. (319) 866-9280
cell (319) 310-4342
bbrady@bradyoshea.com
www.bradyoshea.com

Jonathan M. Feigenbaum
Phillips & Angley
One Bowdoin Square
Boston, MA 02114
off. (617) 367-8787
fax. (617) 227-8992
JonF@phillips-angley.com
www.phillips-angley.com

Charles E. Walker, Esq.
Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights
Under Law
294 Washington Street, Ste. 443
Boston, MA 02108
off. (617) 988-0613
fax. (617) 482-4392
cwalker@lawyerscom.org

Sharon M. Morrison, Esq.
P.O. Box 1090
Whitefish, MT 59937
off. (406) 862-9600
fax. (406) 862-9611
shar@montanasky.net

Richard H. Honaker, Esq.
Honaker Law Offices, L.C.
214 Winston Drive
Rock Springs, WY 82901
off. (307) 362-5800
fax. (307) 362-5890
honakerlaw@wyoming.com

Elizabeth R. Lishner, Esq.
Law Office of Elizabeth R. Lishner
3231 Ocean Park Blvd., Ste. 124
Santa Monica, CA 90404-3231
off. (310) 399-1344
fax. (310) 399-2428
erisaace@aol.com

Eli Gottesdiener, Esq.
Gottesdiener Law Firm PLLC
1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
off. (202) 243-1000
fax. (202) 243-1001
eli@gottesdienerlaw.com
www.gottesdienerlaw.com

Glenn R. Kantor, Esq.
Kantor & Kantor LLP
17216 Parthenia Street
Northridge, CA 91325
off. (818) 886-2525
fax. (818) 350-6272
gkantor@kantorlaw.net

Joan Jonkel, Esq.
Jonkel Law Offices
221 N. Higgins Avenue
Missoula, MT 59807
off. (406) 721-1835
fax. (406) 721-1839
jonkel@bigsky.net

Robert Perez Sr., Esq.
The Perez Law Firm Co.
7672 Montgomery Rd #378
Cincinnati, OH 45236-4204
off. (513) 891-8777
fax. (513) 891-0317
rperez@cinci.rr.com

Richard P. Neuworth, Esq.
Lebau & Neuworth
606 Baltimore Ave., Ste. 201
Baltimore, MD 21204-4097
off. (410) 296-3030
fax. (410) 296-3030
rn@joblaws.net

Ronald Dean, Esq.
Ronald Dean, a Law Corporation
15135 W. Sunset Blvd.
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-3782
off. (310) 459-1636
fax. (310) 459-6224
rdean@74erisa.com

William D. Frumkin, Esq.
Sapir & Frumkin LLP
399 Knollwood Road, Ste. 310
White Plains, NY 10603
off. (914) 328-0366
fax. (914) 682-9128
wfrumkin@sapirfrumkin.com

Daniel Feinberg, Esq.
Lewis, Feinberg, Renaker & Jackson, P.C.
1330 Broadway, Ste. 1800
Oakland, CA 94612
off. (510) 839-6824
fax. (510) 839-7839
dfeinberg@lewisfeinberg.com

Todd Jackson, Esq.
Lewis, Feinberg, Renaker & Jackson, P.C.
1330 Broadway, Ste. 1800
Oakland, CA 94612
off. (510) 839-6824
fax. (510) 839-7839
tjackson@lewisfeinberg.com

Kevin Brennan, Esq.
Dwyer & Brennan
55 John Street, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3712
off. (212) 571-4067
fax. (212) 587-0227
kjbrennan@dwyerbrennan.com

Scott M. Riemer, Esq.
Riemer & Associates LLC
60 East 42nd Street, Ste. 2430
New York, NY 10165
off. (212) 297-0700
fax. (212) 297-0730
email: sriemer@riemerlawfirm.com

Mark P. Carey
Carey & Associates, P.C.
71 Old Post Rd., Ste. One
Southport, CT 06890
off. (203) 255-4150
fax. (203) 255-0380
mcarey@capclaw.com

Jeffrey Lewis, Esq.
Lewis, Feinberg, Renaker & Jackson, P.C.
1330 Broadway, Ste. 1800
Oakland, CA 94612
off. (510) 839-6824
fax. (510) 839-7839
jlewis@lewisfeinberg.com

Teresa Renaker, Esq.
Lewis, Feinberg, Renaker & Jackson, P.C.
1330 Broadway, Ste. 1800
Oakland, CA 94612
off. (510) 839-6824
fax. (510) 839-7839
trenaker@lewisfeinberg.com

David S. Preminger, Esq.
Rosen Preminger & Bloom LLP
708 Third Ave., Ste. 1600
New York, NY 10017-4123
off. (212) 682-1900
fax. (212) 867-6878
dpreminger@rpblawny.com

Mark D. DeBofsky
Daley, DeBofsky & Bryant
1 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 3800
Chicago, IL 60602
off. (312) 372-5200
fax. (312) 372-2778
mdebofsky@ddbchicago.com
www.ddbchicago.com

Rich Carter, Esq.
Carter & Coleman
602 Cameron St.
Alexandria, VA 22314
off. (703) 739-4200
fax. (703) 739-4210
rcarter@cartercoleman.com

John J. Spiegel, Esq.
John J. Spiegel, P.A.
700 Concord Building
66 W. Flagler Street
Miami, FL 33130
off. (305) 539-0700
fax. (305) 539-1894
cell (305) 804-3051
Jspiegel@bellsouth.net

Tracy Collins, Esq.
Kantor & Kantor LLP
17216 Parthenia Street
Northridge, CA 91325
off. (818) 886-2525
fax. (818) 350-6272
tcollins@kantorlaw.net

Jennifer Kurzon, Esq.
Kantor & Kantor LLP
17216 Parthenia Street
Northridge, CA 91325
off. (818) 886-2525
fax. (818) 350-6272
jkurzon@kantorlaw.net

Lisa Kantor, Esq.
Kantor & Kantor LLP
17216 Parthenia Street
Northridge, CA 91325
off. (818) 886-2525
fax. (818) 350-6272
lkantor@kantorlaw.net

Tom Moukawsher, Esq.
Moukawsher & Walsh LLC
21 Oak Street, Ste. 209
Hartford, CT 06105
off. (860) 445-1809
fax. (860) 446-8161
tmoukawsher@mwlawgroup.com

Mathew B. Newman, Esq.
Mathew B. Newman, P.A.
ERISA Law Firm
P.O. Box 97
Savage, MN 55378-0097
off. (952) 895-0945
fax. (952) 895-0980
mbnpa@integraonline.com
www.erisapro.com

Corinne Chandler, Esq.
Kantor & Kantor LLP
17216 Parthenia Street
Northridge, CA 91325
off. (818) 886-2525
fax. (818) 350-6272
cchandler@kantorlaw.net

Alan Kassan, Esq.
Kantor & Kantor LLP
17216 Parthenia Street
Northridge, CA 91325
off. (818) 886-2525
fax. (818) 350-6272
akassan@kantorlaw.net

Elizabeth Green, Esq.
Kantor & Kantor LLP
17216 Parthenia Street
Northridge, CA 91325
off. (818) 886-2525
fax. (818) 350-6272
egreen@kantorlaw.net

Kenneth Isserlis, Esq.
Lee & Isserlis, P.S.
201 W. North River Dr., Ste. 340
Spokane, WA 99201
off. (509) 326-1800
fax. (509) 326-2128
kisserlis@leeisserlis.com

Edgar Pauk, Esq.
144 E. 44th Street
New York, NY 10017-4008
off. (212) 983-4000
fax. (212) 808-9808
pauk@tiac.net

Denise Tataryn, Esq.
Mansfield Tanick & Cohen P.A.
1700 U.S. Bank Plaza South
220 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4511
off. (612) 339-4295
fax. (612) 339-3161
dtataryn@mansfieldtanick.com

Ann Curry Thompson, Esq.
Kelman Loria, PLLC
1420 First National Building
660 Woodward Ave.
Detroit, MI 48226
off. (313) 961-7353, ext. 234
fax. (313) 961-8875
athom@kelmanloria.com

Geoffrey V. White, Esq.
Law Office of Geoffrey White
351 California Street, Ste. 1500
San Francisco, CA 94104-2407
off. (415) 362-5658
fax. (415) 362-4115
gvwhite@sprynet.com

Doris J Dabrowski, Esq.
1500 Walnut St., Ste. 900
Philadelphia, PA 19102
off. (215) 790-1115
fax. (215) 790-0556
dabrowskidoris@hotmail.com

Jon Holder, Esq.
Holder & Grover, P.A.
P.O. Box 238
Ellsworth, ME 04605-0238
off. (207) 664-0299
fax. (207) 664-0499
jonfholder@hotmail.com

Susan L. Horner, Esq.
Miller, Monson, Peshel, Polacek
& Hoshaw APPLC
501 W. Broadway, Ste. 700
San Diego, CA 92101
off. (619) 239-7777
fax. (619) 696-6163 or 238-8888
SusanHorner@erisa-law.com

Brian S. King, Esq.
Attorney at Law
336 South 300 East, Ste. 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
off. (801) 532-1739
free (866) 372-2322
fax. (801) 532-1936
brian@briansking.com
www.erisa-claims.com

William Payne, Esq.
1007 Mount Royal Blvd., Ste. 1
Pittsburgh, PA 15223-1027
off. (412) 492-8797
fax. (412) 492-8978
wpayne@stargate.net

Bonny Rafel, Esq.
Bonny G. Rafel, LLC
570 W. Mt. Pleasant Ave., Ste. 106
Livingston, NJ 07039
off. (973) 716-0888
fax. (973) 716-0222
brafel@disabilitycounsel.com
www.disabilitycounsel.com

Melvyn Silver, Esq. and
Ruth Silver Taube, Esq.
Law Office of Silver & Taube
300 South First Street, Ste. 205
San Jose, CA 95113
off. (408) 298-9755
fax. (408) 298-9699
mdsrst@cs.com

William H. Ewing, Esq.
1515 Market St., 9th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
off. (215) 851-8420
fax. (215) 851-8383
WEwing@eckertseamans.com

Michael E. Quiat, Esq.
Uscher, Quiat, Uscher & Russo, P.C.
433 Hackensack Ave., 2nd Floor
Hackensack, NJ 07601
off. (201) 342-7100
fax. (201) 342-1810
mquiatt@uqur.com

Debra Butler, Esq.
Debra K. Butler, Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 2301
Pasadena, CA 91102
off. (818) 951-2414
fax. (818) 951-9243
debrabutler@verizon.net

Bridget O'Ryan, Esq.
Law Office of Bridget O'Ryan
10333 North Meridian Street, Ste. 100
Indianapolis, IN 46290
off. (317) 705-9058
fax. (317) 844-9977
boryan@indy.rr.com

Julian Baum, Esq.
Baum & Weems
9 Tenaya Lane
Novato, CA 94947
off. (415) 892-3152
fax. (415) 892-3096
jbaum@ix.netcom.com

Robert Nichols, Esq.
Nichols Law Group
42 Idora Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94127
off. (415) 362-5135
fax. (415) 504-7560
bobnichols@lanset.com

Elizabeth L. Koob, Esq.
Koob & Magoolaghan
South Street Seaport
19 Fulton Street, Ste. 408
New York, NY 10038
off. (212) 406-3095
fax. (212) 349-4658
Nykoob@aol.com

Pamela I. Atkins, Esq.
Atkins & Associates, Attorneys-at-Law, LLC
1117 Perimeter Center West, Ste. W405
Atlanta, GA 30338
off. (770) 399-9999
fax. (770) 399-9939
patkins@adisability.com

Faith Herndon, Esq.
Law Office of Faith Herndon
731 Broad Street, Ste. A
Durham, NC 27705
off. (919) 401-4994
fax. (919) 286-4994
faith@faithlaw.net

Howard B. Hellen, Esq.
Law Offices of Howard Bennett Hellen
380 S. Melrose Drive, Ste. 361
Vista, CA 92081
off. (760) 942-7848
fax. (760) 942-7849
Hbhellen@aol.com

Laura J. Wetsch, Esq.
of Counsel at Joyce L. Davis & Assocs.
Two Hannover Square, Ste. 1730
P.O. Box 709
Raleigh, NC 27602-0709
off. (919) 834-6534
fax. (919) 834-6536
lwetsch@jldavis.com

Nancy L. Cavey, Esq.
Cavey and Hudson
P.O. Box 7539
St. Petersburg, FL 33734
off. (727) 894-3188
fax. (727) 821-2751
cavey@tampabay.rr.com
www.cavey@tampabay.rr.com

Nola J. Hitchcock Cross, Esq.
Managing Partner
Cross Law Firm
845 North 11th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53233
off. (414) 224-000
fax. (414) 273-7055
njhcross@crosslawfirm.com

Gregory G. Paul, Esq.
Peirce, Raimond & Coulter, P.C.
2500 Gulf Tower
707 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
off. (412) 391-2835
fax. (412) 281-4229
free (800) 543-9859
gpaul@peircelaw.com

Cathy Ventrell-Monsees, Esq.
Law Office of Cathy Ventrell-Monsees
3208 Flushing Meadow Terrace
Chevy Chase, MD 20815
off. (301) 655-5316
fax. (301) 654-5316
ventrell.monsees@starpower.net

Carol A. Mager, Esq.
Mager & Goldstein LL:
One Liberty Place, 21st Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
off. (215) 640-3282
fax. (215) 640-3281
cmager@magergoldstein.com

Trey Holm, Esq.
The Holm Law Firm, LLC
707 Whitlock Ave.
Building E, Ste. 16
Marietta, GA 30064
off. (770) 426-9999
fax. (770) 234-6335
trey@theholmlawfirm.com
www.theholmlawfirm.com

Gail M. Langendorf, Esq.
Busald Funk Zevely, P.S.C.
226 Main Street
Florence, KY 41042
off. (859) 371-3600
fax. (859) 525-1040
glangendorf@bfzlaw.com

Stephen G. Console, Esq.
Console Law Offices LLC
1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
off. (215) 545-7676
fax. (215) 545-8211
console@consolelaw.com
www.consolelaw.com

Heather Newton, Esq.
Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 2866
Asheville, NC 28802
off. (828) 254-7177
fax. (828) 254-7338
hnewton@buncombe.main.nc.us

Marcie E. Schaap, Esq.
King, Burke & Schaap, P.C.
648 East 100 South, Ste. 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84102
off. (801) 532-1700
fax. (801) 272-6350
meschaap@3kingslaw.com

Scott Kalkin, Esq.
Roboostoff & Kalkin
369 Pine Street, Ste. 610
San Francisco, CA 94104
off. (415) 732-0282
fax. (415) 732-0282
robokalk@earthlink.net
www.Roboostoff-Kalkin.com

Troy Haney, Esq.
Dilley Haney P.C.
330 E. Fulton
Grand Rapids, MI 49503
off. (616) 235-2300
fax. (616) 459-0137
thaney@dilleyhaneylaw.com

Luke Largess, Esq.
Ferguson Stein Chambers Law Office
P.O. Box 36486
741 Kenilworth Ave., Ste. 300
Charlotte, NC 28204
off. (704) 375-8461
fax. (704) 334-5654
LLargess@fergusonstein.com

Gianna M. Karapelou, Esq.
Console Law Offices LLC
1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
off. (215) 545-7676
fax. (215) 545-8211
karapelo@consolelaw.com
www.consolelaw.com

Charles Fleishman, Esq.
Disability Lawyer
8383 Wilshire Blvd., #1030
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
off. (323) 653-9772
fax. (323) 852-0871
erisa@erisarights.com
www.erisarights.com

David P. Martin, Esq.
Ford, Martin & Abernathy, P.C.
621 Greensboro Ave.
Tuscaloosa, AL 35401
off. (205) 349-2000
fax. (205) 349-3375
dmartin@fmalaw.com

Margaret Errington, Esq.
Ferguson Stein Chambers Law Office
P.O. Box 36486
741 Kenilworth Ave., Ste. 300
Charlotte, NC 28204
off. (704) 375-8461
fax. (704) 334-5654
cme@fergusonstein.com

Woody Connette, Esq.
Essex Richards, P.A.
1701 South Blvd.
Charlotte, NC 28203
off. (704) 377-4300
fax. (704) 372-1357
Woody@essexrichards.com
www.essexrichards.com

Frank Goldsmith, Esq.*
Goldsmith, Goldsmith & Dews, P.A.
57 South Main Street
Marion, NC 28752
off. (828) 652-3000
fax. (828) 652-9196
frankgold@earthlink.net

** Co-chair, Employment Law Section, NC Academy of Trial Lawyers (past chair, Labor & Employment Law Section, NC Bar Assoc.), but signing on behalf of himself*

Jeffrey S. Hynes, Esq.
Jeffrey S. Hynes & Associates, S.C.
Mayfair Bank Tower
2300 N. Mayfair Road, Ste. 390
Wauwatosa, WI 53226
off. (414) 774-2920
fax. (414) 774-2923
hynes@execpc.com

Ellen Doyle, Esq.
Malakoff Doyle & Finberg, P.C.
The Frick Building, Ste. 200
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-6003
off. (412) 281-8400
fax. (412) 281-3262
edoyle@mdfpc.com

Jeffrey M. Mallon, Esq.
Jeffrey M. Mallon, P.C.
401 S. Old Woodward, Ste. 400
Birmingham, MI 48009
off. (248) 258-5747
fax. (248) 258-8941
jmallon916@comcast.net

Jon Wall, Esq.*
Robertson Melin & Troutman, PLLC
125 S. Elm Street, Ste. 100
Greensboro, NC 27401
off. (336) 378-9881
fax. (336) 378-9886
jwall@rmtlegal.com

**Legislative Liaison for N. C. Bar Ass'n Labor Law Council, but signing on behalf of himself*

Patrick Derkacz, Esq.
Frank, Haron, Weiner and Navarro
5435 Corporate Drive, Ste. 225
Troy, MI 48098
off. (248) 952-0400
fax. (248) 952-089-
pderkacz@fhwnlaw.com

Martin R. Sturm, Esq.
Chambers, Steiner & Sturm, PLC
141 E. Michigan Ave., Ste. 400
Kalamazoo, MI 49007
off. (269) 385-4300
fax. (269) 385-3077
msturm@chamberssteiner.com

Craig S. Romanzi, Esq.
Craig S. Romanzi & Associates, P.C.
2850 Dixie Highway
Waterford, MI 48328
off. (248) 674-4404
fax. (248) 674-4774
fax. (248) 928-0589 [personal]
cromaniz@rnc-law.com

Steven P Krafchick, Esq.
Krafchick Law Firm
Legal Services for Injured People
2701 First Ave., Ste. 340
Seattle, WA 98121
off. (206) 374-7370
fax. 206) 374-7377
klf@krafchick.com
www.krafchick.com

Elizabeth Matthews, Esq.
Materna, Custer & Associates, P.C.
28051 Dequindre Rd.
Madison Heights, MI 48071
off. (248) 543-8300
fax. (248) 543-3180
elizamatthews@hotmail.com

Michael P. Risko, Esq.
Bolhouse, Vander Hulst, Risko & Baar, P.C.
Grandville State Bank Building
Grandville, MI 49418
off. (616) 531-7711
fax. (616) 531-7757
miker@bolouselaw.com

Susan Martin, Esq.
Martin & Bonnett, PLLC
3300 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 1720
Phoenix, AZ 85012
off. (602) 240-6900
fax. (602) 240-2345
smartin@martinbonnett.com

Mathew L. Turner, Esq.
Turner and Turner, P.C.
26000 W. 12 Mile Rd.
Southfield, MI 48034
off. (248) 355-1727
fax. (248) 355-5674
matt@turnerandturner.com

M. Stephen Dampier, Esq.
Law Offices of M. Stephen Dampier, P.C.
P.O. Box 161
Fairhope, AL 36533-0161
off. (251) 454-8263
fax. (251) 928-2834
stevedampier@cs.com

Michael J. Morse, Esq.
Michael Morse, P.C.
25657 Southfield Rd.
Southfield, MI 48075
off. (248) 350-9050
fax. (248) 350-2640
Michael@morse-law.com
www.michigan-auto-attorney.com

Eugenie B. Eardley, Esq.
Eardley Law Offices
P.O. Box 830
Cannonsburg, MI 49317
off. (616) 874-2647
fax. (616) 874-2654
genieb@eardleylaw.com

Sally Mermelstein, Esq.
Sally Mermelstein, Attorney at Law
2440 Colfax Ave., S.
Minneapolis, MN 55405
off. (612) 860-8296
fax. (612) 377-2316
mermelstein@mn.rr.com

J. Griffin Morgan, Esq.
Elliot Pishko Morgan
426 Old Salem Rd.
Winston-Salem, NC 27101
off. (336) 724-2828
fax. (336) 724-3335
jgmorgan@epmlaw.com

Robert M. (Hoppy) Elliot, Esq.
Elliot Pishko Morgan
426 Old Salem Rd.
Winston-Salem, NC 27101
off. (336) 724-2828
fax. (336) 724-3335
rmelliot@epmlaw.com

Arnold H. Feldman, Esq.
Mark S. Gertel, P.C.
1040 N. Kings Highway, Ste. 725
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034
off. (856) 414-9110
fax. (856) 414-9112
afeldman@gertelandfeld.com

Debra Schwartz, Esq.
Thompson Rollins Schwartz Borowski LLC
160 Clairemont Ave., Ste.
Decatur, GA 30030
off. (404) 377-7717
fax. (404) 377-5119
DES@trsbattorneys.com
www.trsbattorneys.com

Steven Gursten, Esq.
Gursten, Koltonow, Gursten,
Christensen & Raitt
26555 Evergreen Rd., Ste. 1530
Southfield, MI 48076
off. (248) 353-7575
fax. (248) 353-4504
sgursten@gurstenlaw.com

David Christensen, Esq.
Gursten, Koltonow, Gursten,
Christensen & Raitt
26555 Evergreen Rd., Ste. 1530
Southfield, MI 48076
off. (248) 353-7575
fax. (248) 353-4504
dchristensen@gurstenlaw.com

N. Douglas Grimwood, Esq.*
The Grimwood Law Firm, PLC
3101 North Central Avenue, Ste. 940
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2666
off. (602) 265-4995
fax. (602) 265-4998
ndg@grimwoodlaw.com
**Chair National Employment Lawyers
Association/AZ*

Joshua Rose, Esq.
Rose & Rose, P.C.
1320 19th Street, NW, Ste. 601
Washington, DC 20036
off. (202) 331-8556
fax. (202) 331-0996
josh@roselawyers.com

Larry Gursten, Esq.
Gursten, Koltonow, Gursten,
Christensen & Raitt
26555 Evergreen Rd., Ste. 1530
Southfield, MI 48076
off. (248) 353-7575
fax. (248) 353-4504
lgursten@gurstenlaw.com

Bob Raitt, Esq.
Gursten, Koltonow, Gursten,
Christensen & Raitt
26555 Evergreen Rd., Ste. 1530
Southfield, MI 48076
off. (248) 353-7575
fax. (248) 353-4504
braitt@gurstenlaw.com

Brent Thomas, Esq.
Gursten, Koltonow, Gursten,
Christensen & Raitt
26555 Evergreen Rd., Ste. 1530
Southfield, MI 48076
off. (248) 353-7575
fax. (248) 353-4504
bthomas@gurstenlaw.com