PRIVILEGED

Hor good for page.

Provident Internal Memorandum

To:

IDC Management Group

Glenn Feiton

From:

Jeff McCall

Date:

October 2, 1995

Re:

ERISA

A task force has recently been established to promote the identification of policies covered by ERISA and to initiate active measures to get new and existing policies covered by ERISA. The advantages of ERISA coverage in litigious situations are enormous: state law is preempted by federal law, there are no jury trials, there are no compensatory or punitive damages, relief is usually limited to the amount of benefit in question, and claims administrators may receive a deferential standard of review. The economic impact on Provident from having policies covered by ERISA could be significant. As an example, Glenn Felton identified 12 claim situations where we settled for \$7.8 million in the aggregate. If these 12 cases had been covered by ERISA, our liability would have been between zero and \$0.5 million.

In order to take advantage of ERISA protection, we need to be diligent and thorough in determining whether a policy is covered. Accordingly, I have attached a rough draft of questions that should be asked in our claim investigation process. I recommend that it be used for <u>all</u> claims. The key for determining the applicability of ERISA is whether or not the employer "sponsors" or "endorses" the plan. If the employer <u>pays</u> the premium, the policy would usually, but not always, be considered to be governed by ERISA. Salary allotment or payroll deduction arrangements, by themselves, do not necessarily mean that a policy is subject to ERISA. While our objective is to pay all valid claims and deny invalid claims, there are gray areas, and ERISA applicability may influence our course of action.

Another requirement needed in order to take advantage of the projection offered by ERISA, is to establish a formal appeal process for ERISA situations. When we deny a claim, we must include language in our letter that informs the claimant of the right to appeal our decision within 60 days. I have attached a copy of sample tanguage. The appeal must be in writing and should be reviewed by a panel specifically established to review ERISA appeals. I recommend that the panel be composed of Chris Kinback, Bob Parks, Becky Absher, Tom Timpanaro and me.

We will be modifying the salary allotment agreements used at the point of sale to include endorsement language.

I am interested in any comments or feedback you may have on this issue.

JM:air

PBV97/00014632